



THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK

ADDENDUM #8

BID # RFTC-397-21-18041

DATE: Tuesday, February 10, 2026

FOR: Construction of New Paramedic Response Station No.33 at 2960 Teston Road in the City of Vaughan

CLOSING DATE: Tuesday, February 17, 2026, 1:00 PM Eastern Standard Time

Bidders are requested to incorporate the changes/clarifications noted below to the above noted Bid Documents in your possession and be governed accordingly.

1. CLOSING DATE

The closing date for this tender has been extended to Tuesday February 17 at 1:00 P.M

2. BID FORM

The following revisions have been made in the Schedule of Prices:

Base Scope of Work:

The quantity for item # 2 Estimated Quantity 2900 has been revised to 290 M3

Provisional Items:

The Estimated Quantity 500 has been revised to 50 M3

4. SPECIFICATIONS – CONTRACT ITEMS

The Specifications – Contract Items are amended by the addition of the following new items:

The following revisions have been made in the Specifications – Contract Items:

None.

The following revisions have been made to Appendix C (Part 1) Drawings:

1. Previously issued Drawing A2.4 – SITE PLAN & STATISTICS has been deleted in its entirety and replaced with “Drawing A2.4 – SITE PLAN & STATISTICS bearing revision 24”.
 - a. Reason – curb type and extents updated in response to Bidder question.
2. Previously issued” Drawing A2.8 – CANOPY DETAIL/FOUNDATION & ROOF PLANS has been deleted in its entirety and replaced with “Drawing A2.8 – CANOPY DETAIL/FOUNDATION & ROOF PLANS bearing revision 24”.
 - a. Reason – curb type and extents updated in response to Bidder question.

5. BIDDERS QUESTIONS (Q) AND REGION’S RESPONSES (A)

Question 1:

Could you please let us know if there are any union requirements for this project?

Answer 1:

The Region does not have any union requirement; the question is unclear at this time.

Question 2:

Please provide the length and location of flush type of curb (see attachment).

Answer 2:

Refer to revised Drawing A2.4 bearing revision Number 24 issued as part of this Addendum.

Question 3:

Please provide duct bank rebar size.

Answer 3:

Rebar for duct bank shall be in accordance with Ontario Electrical Safety Code and other Authorities Having Jurisdiction.

Question 4:

The Garbage enclosure pad does not have any detailed drawings showing sections, rebar, foundation for masonry walls, missing dimensions, etc. Please provide.

Answer 4:

Clarification regarding Garbage enclosure pad is provided under Addendum #7.

Question 5:

Please provide a specification for the security systems

Answer 5:

Response issued under Addendum, 7.

Question 6:

Is the chain link mesh 1-1/2" or 2"?

Answer 6:

Refer to Drawing A2.7 bearing Revision 22 issued under previous Addendum. Chain link fabric shall be 50mm.

Question 7:

Upon review of tender submission, I have a question regarding tender submission form online under the subcontractor tab. Prequalified Subcontractors for Security Systems Installation Prequalified Subcontractors for Integration of Security Systems Both have 5 and 4 rows respectively to fill in showing as mandatory fields. Once one contractor is selected what is to be filled in the remaining spots as all 5/4 rows specify mandatory fields. Please update bidding system to remove mandatory fields in each row or advise how to upload only one selection.

Answer 7:

The Tender submission form under Prequalified Subcontractors has been corrected

Question 8:

Can you please clarify the Following query: 1. As per drawing A12.1 Millwork Plans and Elevations, Elevation 5 shows wall tile below counter slab. Drawing A9.1 Floor Finish mentioned PNT-1 finish in these walls. Please confirm wall tiles required or not . if yes, please specify the wall tile type.

Answer 8:

PNT-1 shall be the finish below the counter slab. Wall tile is not required at this location.

Question 9:

Please provide spec for exterior window shade and sunshade canopy if it is to be completed as part of this contract.

Answer 9:

The canopy is part of the Contract and is a fabricated item under Specification Section 05 50 00 and additional notes included in Drawing A2.8 Bearing revision 24 issued as part of this Addendum. **Please note: this requires an Engineered Submittal and subsequent sign-off by engineer when completed.**

Question 10:

1. As per drawing A12.1 Millwork Plans and Elevations, Elevation 5 shows wall tile below counter slab. Drawing A9.1 Floor Finish mentioned PNT-1 finish in these walls. Please confirm wall tiles required or not. If yes, please specify the wall tile type.

Answer 10:

Refer to response to Question 8.

Question 11:

The breakout price under Base Scope – Excavation / disposal work for excess soil is noted to have a Unit of Measurement of Per tri-axle load (10 m3) and the quantity is 2900. Based on this information, is it the intent of the owner to solicit cost for 2900 loads (29,000 m3)? Same applies to the Provisional items of 500 tri-axle load. Please see attached. If not clarified, the owner may receive quotes substantially higher or lower.

Answer 11

Refer to revised pricing schedule issued as part of this Addendum. The estimated quantities are revised to 290 and 50 respectively.

Question 12:

Below clarification from electrical contractor - Please confirm that the generator concrete pad is by the GC and that the electrical contractor is not responsible for this scope, as no detailed information has been provided. - Kindly request that Total Power be added as an approved manufacturer for the generator.

Answer 12:

The scope of work includes the supply and installation of the generator and pad which will function in accordance with its intended use. The means and methods of achieving this are the responsibility of the Bidder.

Product substitutions may be considered following award of the project to the successful bidder. Substitutions shall follow in accordance with specification section 01 25 00 Product Substitution Procedures.

Question 16:

The communication subcontractor requested RFI below: 1. After review of the York Region ITS Corporate Network Cabling Standard, there is no clear manufacturer provided, only a general statement “the successful bidder shall install a complete structured cabling platform that is manufactured and warranted by a single vendor” as mentioned in line 3 under warranty (page 14 of 48). We have previously bid Panduit, and CommScope Uniprise SL, as well as Belden for other York Region projects, please specify what structured cabling manufacturer needs to be provided. 2. The York Region ITS Corporate Network Cabling Standard specifies on page 11 of 48 that the cabling solution shall be “Category 6A UTP (500MHz) 23AWG cabling shall be used as the minimum rated twisted pair cable”, please confirm this project required Cat.6A.

Answer 16:

Part 1: “. . . please specify what structured cabling manufacturer needs to be provided.”
Answer: There is no preferred vendor. The statement in the Standard is stating that all Ethernet cabling at the site must be sourced from a single manufacturer.

Part 2: “. . . The York Region ITS Corporate Network Cabling Standard specifies on page 11 of 48 that the cabling solution shall be “Category 6A UTP (500MHz) 23AWG cabling

shall be used as the minimum rated twisted pair cable”, please confirm this project required Cat.6A.”

Confirmed. This project requires Category 6A UTP (500MHz) 23AWG.

Question 17:

1. After review of the York Region ITS Corporate Network Cabling Standard, there is no clear manufacturer provided, only a general statement “the successful bidder shall install a complete structured cabling platform that is manufactured and warranted by a single vendor” as mentioned in line 3 under warranty (page 14 of 48). We have previously bid Panduit, and CommScope Uniprise SL, as well as Belden for other York Region projects, please specify what structured cabling manufacturer needs to be provided. 2. The York Region ITS Corporate Network Cabling Standard specifies on page 11 of 48 that the cabling solution shall be “Category 6A UTP (500MHz) 23AWG cabling shall be used as the minimum rated twisted pair cable”, please confirm this project required Cat.6A.

Answer 17:

Part 1: “. . . please specify what structured cabling manufacturer needs to be provided.”

Answer: There is no preferred vendor. The statement in the Standard is stating that all Ethernet cabling at the site must be sourced from a single manufacturer.

Part 2: “. . . The York Region ITS Corporate Network Cabling Standard specifies on page 11 of 48 that the cabling solution shall be “Category 6A UTP (500MHz) 23AWG cabling shall be used as the minimum rated twisted pair cable”, please confirm this project required Cat.6A.”

Answer: Confirmed. This project requires Category 6A UTP (500MHz) 23AWG.

Question 18:

Please advise communications cabling type including preferred or mandatory manufacturer if applicable • Please advise communications racking requirements • Please advise communications backbone requirements • Please advise communications patching requirements • Please provide a communication specification for division 27.

Answer 18:

Part 1: “Please advise communications cabling type including preferred or mandatory manufacturer if applicable”

Answer: The ITS Standard is Category 6A UTP (500MHz) 23AWG. There is no preferred or mandatory manufacturer.

Part 2: Communications Racking requirements

Answer: The Corporate ITS Cabling Standard document outlines all communications (network) rack requirements. At PRS stations, 2-post open racks are typically deployed (see Appendix I in the Standard). If anything in the document is unclear, please let us know specifically what needs clarification.

Part 3: Communications backbone requirements

Answer: The Corporate ITS Cabling Standard document outlines all communications backbone requirements. If anything in the document is unclear, please let us know specifically what needs clarification.

Part 4: Communications patching requirements

Answer: The Corporate ITS Cabling Standard document outlines all communications patching requirements. If anything in the document is unclear, please let us know specifically what needs clarification.

Question 19:

The question and Answer is from Addendum #3 and the red bubble is from Addendum #7... How can the finish floor elevation and the u/s of footing be the same elevation?

Answer 19

Refer to Addendum 4, Answer to Question 2.

Question 2:

Please review the following queries and advise.

1. On the structural drawing S1-01, the foundation plan notes indicate a finished floor elevation of 253.15m, while the underside of the footing elevation is noted as 521.75m. This would place the underside of footings significantly above the finished floor and is not feasible. Please provide correct elevations.

2. Please advise if we are to follow details shown on F1/S2-01 or 3/A2.4 for the Apron slab.

Answer 2:

1. This Answer **supersedes** Answer 6 issued as part of Addendum #3.

Refer to Drawing S1-01 (drawing not reissued). For the purposes of this Tender, the finished floor elevation for the project is 253.15m. The U/S of footings elevation is revised to read 251.75m.

2. Please refer to Drawing 1/A2.4 (drawing not reissued), the apron is identified as TA-1 with a reference to Detail 4/A2.6. In this context, Detail 3/A2.4 is not applicable. Detail F1/S2-01 and Detail 4-A2.6 are to be read in conjunction as each detail provides information related to the installation.

Bidders shall acknowledge receipt of all addenda to this RFT prior to submitting their Bid. Bids that do not contain evidence of receipt of all addenda will be deemed to be “incomplete” and will not be accepted in the Bidding Website.

This addendum shall remain attached to and form part of the Contract Documents.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Nanda', with a stylized flourish above it.

Nanda Persaud

Senior Procurement Specialist
Procurement Office

Attachments: (3) The following documents have been uploaded separately in the Bidding Website:

- (1) RFTC-397-21-18041 - Bid Form Revised (Addendum #8)
- (2) Drawing A2.4 SITE PLAN & STATISTIC Revision 24 (Addendum #8)
- (3) Drawing A2.8 – CANOPY DETAIL/FOUNDATION & ROOF PLANS Revision 24” (Addendum #8)